

**Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7**

**From:** Kathryn E Kaser [kkaserco@bossig.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 15, 2002 10:53 AM  
**To:** comment@bpa.gov  
**Subject:** Wind power

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT LOG# <u>MWDEIS-018</u> RECEIPT DATE: MAY 16 2002
---

Please do not use taxpayer money (or ANY money) for wind power. It is not cost effective any way you look at it. This will destroy a great deal of wildlife habitat. It is not needed and the only benefit is to WA Winds' pocketbooks. Dale Nichols and Kathy Kaser, Kennewick, WA

**Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7**

**From:** Cal [cal@futurelink.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 15, 2002 3:31 PM  
**To:** comment@bpa.gov  
**Subject:** Wind Machines for power generation

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT LOG# <u>MWDEIS-019</u> RECEIPT DATE: MAY 16 2002
---

Dear Sirs: I read In the Tri-City Herald newspaper this morning that you are planning to construct hundreds of wind machines on our Rattlesnake Range of hills. I wish to voice my opinion as follows.....NO! I am an Electrical Engineer; professional registration in this state (Wash.) since the 1950's. I have an Electrical Engineering degree from the University of Southern California (1951). I spent 15 years doing electrical design/development for General Electric Co., and 15 years as F Reactor Plant Electrical Engineer, and manager of major electrical maintenance/construction for the combined reactor plant complex at Hanford. I am of the opinion that wind power can only make a trivial contribution to the grid. Looking to the future, say 10 or 100 or 1000 or 10,000 or ad infinitum years "down the pike" only water power and nuclear can accomplish the task. The Rattlesnake Range is about 15 miles due north of my retirement residence and very visible. I would hate to see the view compromised. Lastly, the cost for operation and maintenance surely would be fantastic compared to hydro and nuclear. Think about it, as they say. Respectfully, C. A. Simsen